1 2 3 4	DAYLE ELIESON United States Attorney District of Nevada BRANDON C. JAROCH Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-5053 / Fax: (702) 388-5087 brandon.jaroch@usdoj.gov	00
5	Counsel for the United States	
6	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT O	
8	-oC	0-
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:18-cr-00208-RFB-CWH
10	Plaintiff,	Stipulation to Continue Response Deadline (Second Request)
11	vs.	Beautific (Eccond Wequest)
12	TIANNA CORDOVA,	
13	Defendant.	
14	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED	D AND AGREED, by and between
15	DAYLE ELIESON, United States Attorney, Brandon C. Jaroch, Assistant United	
16	States Attorney, counsel for the United Sta	ates of America, and Ryan Norwood,
17	AFPD, counsel for TIANNA CORDOVA:	
18	THAT THE GOVERNMENT'S D	DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO
19	DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS (ECF No.'s 61	, 64, 65, 66, 67, and 72), currently
20	scheduled for November 1 and 2, 2018, be	continued until November 16, 2018.
21	Since the last stipulation was graduated as the stipulation w	anted, the defendant filed an additional
22	motion to exclude expert testimony. ECF	No. 72.
23		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

-oOo-

3

2

1

4

5

Vs.

6 | TIANNA CORDOVA,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

Case No.: 2:18-cr-00208-RFB-CWH

ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of Counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. Since the last stipulation was granted, the defendant filed an additional motion to exclude expert testimony. ECF No. 72.
- 2. The parties have been diligently discussing the case to potentially limit discovery disputes and other issues related to this matter's trial posture.
- 3. The parties do not foresee this brief continuance to have an impact on the current trial setting but acknowledge that a stipulation to continue the calendar call and trial would likely be necessary to litigate the number of issues raised in the motions noting that this time would be excluded from the Speedy Trial Clock.
 - 4. The parties agree to the continuance.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

5.	The denial of this request for continuance could result in a
niscarriage	of justice.

6. This is the second request to continue the response deadline. For all of the above-stated reasons, the end of justice would best be served by a continuance of the deadline to respond to the Defendant's motions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice would be served by granting said continuance since failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice and would deny the parties herein sufficient time and opportunity to effectively and thoroughly present their position on the motions, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the Government's deadline to respond to the Defendant's motions, currently scheduled for November 1 & 2, 2018, is vacated and continued to November 16, 2018.

Dated: 11/2/18

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE